IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

Andrew Ware,))
Petitioner,) Civil Action No. 2:15-cv-02418-JMC
v.	ORDER
Warden of Kershaw Correctional)
Institution,)
Respondent.)

This matter is before the court for a review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), (ECF No. 9), filed on July 20, 2015, recommending that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) be summarily dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file a return. The Report sets forth the relevant facts and legal standards which this court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Neither party has filed objections to the Report.

In the absence of objections to the Report, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a *de*

2:15-cv-02418-JMC Date Filed 08/11/15 Entry Number 12 Page 2 of 2

novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416

F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

Furthermore, failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of

the right to appeal from the judgment of the district court based upon such recommendation. 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th

Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

After a thorough and careful review of the record, the court finds that the Magistrate

Judge's Report provides an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant case. The court

ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's Report (ECF No. 9). For the reasons set out in the Report,

Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michalle Childs

United States District Judge

August 11, 2015 Charleston, South Carolina